Perspective: The Gilbert-O Debate

The recent decision by USM to hire Faye Gilbert, currently the b-school dean at Radford University, to replace former CoB dean Lance Nail, now dean at Texas Tech University, is drawing at least some backlash in the USM community. One of the primary criticisms at this point is that Gilbert's hiring represents yet another example of so-called "inbreeding" at USM. In this case, Gilbert earned two degrees from USM in the 1980s, both before earning a doctorate in 2008 from the University of North Texas. This and other criticisms are the subject of recent reports and editorials/letters here at USMNEWS.net.

This particular report addresses other facets of the Gilbert hire, namely her being the first female CoB dean and her qualifications for the job, particularly vis-à-vis other female b-school deans in Mississippi. These facets were emphasized by Gilbert herself, when she stated (for a 6-March-2013 news report) to Ed Kemp, the higher education reporter for *The Hattiesburg American*: "I'm proud to be first women [sic] dean. I'm prouder to be qualified for the job." Perhaps little known among even regular USMNEWS.net readers is the fact that Mississippi State University recently hired a female to serve as dean of its b-school. That individual, Sharon Oswald (also referred to as "Dean O" in this report), was awarded an annual salary of \$250,000, quite similar to the \$233,300 per year salary offered by USM to Gilbert in recent days, even though the b-school leadership position at MSU is accompanied by many more, and greater, administrative responsibilities and concerns than that at USM.

Given these similar salaries, reporters investigated the research record of both individuals. This report begins with Gilbert, as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Dean Gilbert's Kesearch				
Year	Faye Gilbert	Notes		
2012	Journal of Promotion Management	3 rd of 3 authors [B-Gr- G]		
2011				
2010				
2009				
2008				
2007				
2006	Journal of Business Ethics	4 th of 4 authors [S-V-R- G]		
	Journal of Marketing Channels	2 nd of 3 authors [M- G -Y]		
2005	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science	4 th of 4 authors [V-R-B-G]		
2004				
2003	Advances in Consumer Research	3 rd of 3 authors [V-R- G]		
2002	Journal of Marketing Theory & Practice	2 nd of 2 authors [B- G]		
	Journal of Retailing	3^{rd} of 4 authors $[T-V-G-R]$		
2001	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science	3 rd of 4 authors [B-R- <mark>G</mark> -I]		
2000				
1999	Journal of Applied Business Research	2 nd of 2 authors [M- G]		
1998				
1997	Journal of Business Research	3 rd of 4 authors [N-V-G-B]		
1996	Journal of Business Research	2 nd of 3 authors [Y-G-M]		
	Journal of Marketing Education	1 st of 2 authors [G -P]		
	Journal of Marketing Management	2 nd of 3 authors [Y- G -M]		
1995	Psychology & Marketing	1 st of 2 authors [G -W]		
1994	Journal of Applied Business Research	1 st of 2 authors [G -T]		
	Journal of Business Research	1 st of 3 authors [G -Y-O]		
	Journal of Marketing Theory & Practice	3 rd of 3 authors [Y-Ga- G]		
	Marketing Education Review	2 nd of 2 authors [M- G]		
1993	Journal of Marketing Management	2^{nd} of 2 authors [W-G]		

Table 1: Dean Gilbert's Research

1992	Journal of Health Care Marketing Journal of Marketing Education	l st of 3 authors [G -L-D] l st of 3 authors [G -P-I]
1991	-	
1990		
1989		
1988		

As Table 1 shows, Gilbert's record includes 21 journal publications with 61 total authors. This record, therefore, consists of 2.90 authors per paper. Gilbert is, on average, the 2.19th author across these 21 journal publications. Also across these 21 journal publications Gilbert has teamed with 40 co-authors (including repeats). On only 4 occasions (10.0%) is Gilbert listed ahead of someone whose last name begins with letters appearing earlier in the alphabet than Gilbert's last name. At the same time, there are 20 occasions (50.0%) where Gilbert is listed behind someone whose last name begins with letters appearing later in the alphabet than Gilbert's last name. The remaining 16 occasions (40.0%) represent alphabetical ordering.

Oswald's numbers are in stark contrast to those of Gilbert, as shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Dean O's Kesearch				
Year	Dean O	Notes		
2012	Entrepreneurship & Regional Development	2 nd of 4 authors [T-0-S-A]		
2011	Journal of Applied Business Research	3 rd of 3 authors [S-W-0]		
2010				
2009	Business Renaissance Quarterly	2 nd of 2 authors [I- 0]		
	Journal of Small Business Management	1 st of 3 authors [0 -M-R]		
2008				
2007	Journal of Information Technology	2 nd of 3 authors [M-O-M]		
2006	Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education	3 rd of 4 authors [S-C- O -R]		
	Family Business Review	3 rd of 3 authors [R-M-0]		
	Journal of Services Marketing	3 rd of 3 authors [S-T- 0]		
2005	International Journal of Entrepreneurship & Innovation Management	1 st of 3 authors [0 -W-B]		
	Journal of Business and Economic Studies	3 rd of 3 authors [P-J-0]		
	Journal of Business Research	2 nd of 4 authors [S- 0 -L-A]		
	Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing	2 nd of 2 authors [W-0]		
	Journal of Small Business Management	3 rd of 4 authors [G-R-0-G]		
	Small Business Economics	3 rd of 4 authors [M-R-0-R]		
2004				
2003				
$2002 \\ 2001$	Lemmel of Devines and Francesia Studies	2rd of 2 costheres [D M O]		
2001	Journal of Business and Economic Studies Administration & Society	3 rd of 3 authors [R-M- O] Solo		
2000	Managerial and Decision Economics	3rd of 3 authors [P- 0 -J]		
	New England Journal of Entrepreneurship	2^{nd} of 2 authors [R-0]		
1999	Journal of Economics and Finance	3^{rd} of 3 authors [P-J-0]		
1)))	Journal of Services Marketing	2^{nd} of 2 authors [H-0]		
1998	Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal	2^{nd} of 3 authors [S-0-C]		
1770	Marketing Health Services	1^{st} of 4 authors [0-T-S-B]		
1997	Group & Organization Management	1 st of 3 authors [0-M-H]		
	International Journal of Management	1^{st} of 3 authors [0 -S-L]		
1996	Applied Financial Economics	2^{nd} of 2 authors [G-0]		
	Business Horizons	2^{nd} of 2 authors [S-0]		
	Hospital and Health Services Administration	2^{nd} of 2 authors [G-0]		
	Journal of Management in Medicine	3 rd of 3 authors [B-0-T]		
1995	California Management Review	1 st of 2 authors [0-B]		
	Journal of Management in Medicine	3 rd of 3 authors [S-Z-0]		
1994	Strategic Management Journal	1 st of 3 authors [0-M-H]		
	Managerial and Decision Economics	1 st of 3 authors [0-G-J]		
1993	International Journal of Management	1 st of 3 authors [0-T-S]		
	Journal of Labor Research	3 rd of 3 authors [S-S-0]		
	Managerial and Decision Economics	2 nd of 2 authors [C-0]		

Table 9. Deen O'a Desservab

1992	Industrial & Labor Relations Review	2 nd of 2 authors [C-0]
	International Journal of Healthcare Quality Assurance	1 st of 3 authors [0 -H-W]
1991	Business Horizons	1 st of 2 authors [0 -S]
	Industrial Relations	Solo
	Planning Review	2 nd of 4 authors [R-0-S-T]
	Strategic Management Journal	1 st of 2 authors [0 -J]
1990	Labor Law Journal	2 nd of 2 authors [W-0]
1989		
1988	Personnel	2 nd of 3 authors [S-0-S]

As Table 2 shows, Dean O's record includes 43 journal publications with 118 total authors. This record, therefore, consists of 2.74 authors per paper. Dean O is, on average, the 1.98th author across these 43 journal publications. Also across these 43 journal publications Dean O has teamed with 75 co-authors (including repeats). On 20 occasions (26.7%) Oswald listed ahead of someone whose last name begins with letters appearing earlier in the alphabet than Oswald's last name. At the same time, there are 25 occasions (33.3%) where Oswald is listed behind someone whose last name begins with letters appearing later in the alphabet than Oswald's last name. The remaining 30 occasions (40.0%) represent alphabetical ordering.

Table 3: Debate Summary Dean Gilbert Dean O 21 43 **Journal Publications** Authors/Publication 2.902.742.19th 1.98th Author Placement Avg % Placed Ahead 10.026.7% Placed Behind 50.0 33.3 # Solo Pubs 2 0

As the debate summary above shows, Dean O wins every point against Dean Gilbert, including solo journal publications. As sources add, this type of comparison calls for a salary gap between the two that is much larger than the one (\$17,000) that currently exists. Put differently, if MSU could secure Dean O's services for \$250,000, then USM should have been able to do better than Gilbert with \$233,300.